IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 181 OF 2006

Girish Thomas Macwan @ Girish Ganesh Mehta.] .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & 14 ors.] .. Respondents

Ms. S.A. Mudbidri for the petitioner.

Mr. Milind More, AGP, for the respondent No.1.

Mr. G.D. Uttangale for the respondent No.2.

Mr. S. Khaire for the respondent No.3.

Mr. J. John for respondents No.4 to 15.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATED: 27TH MARCH, 2006

P.C. :

. The petitioner in the instant case has approached this Court on the ground that the petitioner was not being allotted any premises. On behalf of respondent No.4, a reply has been filed. In para 3(a), it is set out that the petitioner is eligible for allotment of one flat and flat No.502 on the 5th floor in the rehabilitation building is allotted to the petitioner. On behalf of respondent No.4, learned

counsel makes a statement that in a month's time, the petitioner will be put in possession of the premises. Statement made on behalf of respondent No.4 is accepted.

2. Apart from that, it is a case of the petitioner that respondents No.5 to 15 are persons who are not eligible or being eligible for one premises, have been allotted other premises also. Learned counsel states that he is appearing for those respondents also. If the petitioner has any specific grievance, it will be open for the petitioner to make a complaint before respondent No.2. Respondent No.2, after receiving such complaint and after hearing the complainant, the concerned persons and respondent No.4, to pass appropriate orders according to law at any rate not later than 12 weeks from the receipt of the application.

3. With the above directions, Petition stands disposed of. Liberty to the petitioner to apply in the event the petitioner is not put in possession of the premises.

Sd/-
[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

Sd/-

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]